DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
WASHINGTON STATE

Excise Tax Advisory

Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs) are interpretive statements issued by the Department of Revenue under authority of RCW 34.05.230. ETAs explain the Department's policy regarding how tax law applies to a specific issue or specific set of facts. They are advisory for taxpayers; however, the Department is bound by these advisories until superseded by Court action, Legislative action, rule adoption, or an amendment to or cancellation of the ETA.

Number: 2029.04.245 Issue Date: February 24, 2006

Taxation of network telephone service used to provide Internet access services

On December 3, 2004, President Bush signed the Internet Tax Nondiscrimination Act of 2004, P.L. 108-435. This legislation reinstated and extended the moratorium on taxes on Internet access by amending the Internet Tax Freedom Act (ITFA). The legislation expanded the definition of tax-exempt Internet access by including telecommunications services that are purchased, used, or sold by an Internet service provider (ISP) to provide Internet access to its customers. This expanded definition of Internet access is thought by some taxpayers to include the type of services provided by network telephone service businesses to ISPs and their customers. This includes services used to connect an ISP to the Internet backbone or to ISP customer locations, such as the provision of transmission capacity over dial-up connections, coaxial cables, fiber optic cables, T-1 lines, frame relay service, digital subscriber lines (DSL), wireless technologies, or other means.

Washington has traditionally taxed the sale of these network telephone services to a consumer under the retailing classification of the business and occupation (B&O) tax and required the seller to collect retail sales tax. In 1997, RCW 82.04.065 was amended to explicitly include "the provision of transmission to and from the site of an internet provider via a local telephone network, toll line or channel, cable, microwave, or similar communication or transmission system" as taxable network telephone service. To the extent that these services are included within the federal definition of "Internet access" (see below), ITFA appears to preempt the State's authority to apply B&O and retail sales taxes to the purchase of network telephone service used to provide Internet access, as well as the ISP's provision of traditional Internet access itself.

However, P.L. 108-435 also included two relevant grandfather clauses in section 3 of the Act. The first clause (subsection (a)(1)) grandfathers a state's right to continue assessing taxes on Internet access that were imposed and actually enforced as of October 1, 1998 if the tax was authorized by statute and the State had issued a public proclamation that such taxes were being imposed **or** the state generally collected tax on Internet access. This right continues through November 1, 2007, the date the moratorium is scheduled to end. P.L. 108-435 also included a second grandfather clause (subsection (b)) that applies to taxes imposed and enforced as of November 1, 2003. It grandfathers a state's right to continue imposing such taxes if the state had issued a public proclamation that taxes on Internet access were being imposed **and** the state generally collected such taxes. The right to continue imposing taxes under the second grandfather clause expires November 1, 2005. The language in the two grandfather clauses is substantively identical except for the different time periods (the first applies to pre-October 1998 taxes and the second applies to pre-November 2003 taxes) and the fact that the two provisos are written in the disjunctive for the first clause and in the conjunctive in the second clause.

Some taxpayers believe that the second grandfather clause applies – to the exclusion of the first grandfather clause – to all taxes imposed on network telephone service used to provide Internet access services. These taxpayers point to statements made in the Congressional record that suggest that members of Congress thought that all state taxation of DSL services used to provide Internet access would cease as of November 1, 2005. Therefore, these taxpayers believe that they no longer need to collect and remit retail sales tax on sales of network telephone service used for Internet access after November 1, 2005.

The actual statutory language of ITFA does not, however, support this interpretation of the law. The first grandfather clause, effective until November 1, 2007, applies to any "tax on Internet access that was generally imposed and actually enforced prior to October 1, 1998." The term "Internet access service" is defined to include "telecommunications services . . . purchased, used, or sold by a provider of Internet access to provide Internet access." To the extent this modified definition includes purchased telecommunications used to provide Internet access, the first grandfather clause clearly applies to allow Washington State's taxation of these telecommunications services used to provide Internet access, because these taxes were imposed and enforced before October 1998. There is no indication in the statutory language that Congress intended the separate clauses to apply to different types of services, as opposed to covering taxes imposed in different time periods -- the language describing the applicable service is identical in both clauses. The applicable rule of statutory interpretation is that if the statutory language is unambiguous, a court will not consider the legislative history of the statute to reach a contrary conclusion. *Whitfield v. U.S.*, 543 U.S. 209, 215 (2005). Even if a court were to look to the legislative history of the act, however, the record is far from definitive and contains statements that could be seen to support either reading of the statute.

Finally, Washington meets the technical requirements of the first grandfather clause. In Washington, B&O and retail sales taxes on the sale of network telephone service used to provide Internet access were generally imposed and actually enforced prior to October 1, 1998. Taxpayers also had a reasonable opportunity to know of this practice due to the fact that RCW 82.04.065 explicitly stated that "the provision of transmission to and from the site of an internet provider via a local telephone network . . . or similar communication or transmission system" was taxable as network telephone service. Finally, the State generally collected B&O and retail sales taxes on the purchase of such network telephone service.

For these reasons, Washington's taxation of network telephone service used to provide Internet access qualifies under the first grandfather clause of ITFA and will continue as described above until at least November 1, 2007. This conclusion makes it unnecessary for the department to adopt a position with respect to the interpretation of the term "Internet access" advanced in the January 2006 Government Accountability Office report "Internet Access Tax Moratorium: Revenue Impacts Will Vary by State." The department may, before the expiration of the grandfather period, consider whether the amended definition allows the continued taxation of telecommunications services used to provide Internet access services, but does not do so at this time.

*****